### # 3

# FOR UTILITY/DESIGN CIP/PCT NATIONAL/PLANT ORIGINAL/SUBSTITUTE/SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATIONS

## RULE 63 (37 C.F.R. ) DECLARATION AND POWER G. ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

PM & S FORM

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

As a below named inventing believe I am the original, below) of the subject math COALESCING INFORM	first and sol ter which is	le inventor (if only one na claimed and for which a	ame is listed be patent is soug	elow) or an original, first pht on the <u>INVENTION E</u>	and joint inv		are listed
		th (CHECK applicable B		FINORITI NOLLS			ATE JAN 0
X A. ☐ is atta							园
BOX(ES) → B. 🛛 v				s U.S. Application No.			12
		PCT International A		No. PCT//_	or	1 <u> </u>	
and (if applicable to U.S.							nt referred to
I hereby state that I have rev above. I acknowledge the de							
above. Fackhowledge are di foreign priority benefits unde Application which designated certificate, or PCT Internation the application on which prio	r 35 U.S.C. 1 d at least one nal Applicatio	19(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any fo other country than the Unite n, filed by me or my assigne	reign application ed States, listed l ee disclosing the	(s) for patent or inventor's obelow and have also identification to the comment of the comment o	ertificate, or 30 ed below any t	65(a) of any PCT Internat foreign application for pate	ional ent or inventor's
PRIOR FOREIGN APPL	ICATION(S	)		Date first Laid-	Date P	atented	
Number <u>C</u>	ountry	Day/MONTH/Ye	ear Filed	open or Publishe	<u>or</u>	Granted Priority	NOT Claimed
if more prior foreign applic Except as noted below, I her PCT international applicatior application is in addition to the defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56 whapplication:	eby claim don as listed above at disclosed	mestic priority benefit under e or below and, if this is a co in such prior applications, I	35 U.S.C. 119(e ontinuation-in-pa acknowledge the	) or 120 and/or 365(c) of the rt (CIP) application, insofar duty to disclose all informa	as the subject tion known to	matter disclosed and cla me to be material to pater	imed in this ntability as
PRIOR U.S. PROVISION Application No. (series			PCT APPLICA		<u>Status</u> g, abandone		NOT Claimed
I hereby declare that all state further that these statements Section 1001 of Title 18 of th	were made	with the knowledge that willf	ul false statemer	nts and the like so made are	punishable by	y fine or imprisonment, or	both, under
And I hereby appoint Pillsbu telephone number (202) 861 attorneys to prosecute this a authorize them to delete nan person/assignee/attomey/firm to be represented unless/un-	-3000 (to who pplication and nes/numbers m/ organizatio	om all communications are t d to transact all business in t below of persons no longer on who/which first sends/ser	o be directed), a the Patent and T with their firm an at this case to the	nd the below-named persor rademark Office connected id to act and rely on instruct om and by whom/which I he	ns (of the same therewith and tions from and	e address) individually and with the resulting patent, communicate directly with	d collectively my and I hereby n the
Paul N. Kokulis	16773	Dale S. Lazar	28872	Mark G. Paulson	30793	W. Patrick Bengtssor	i 32456
Raymond F. Lippitt	17519	Paul E. White, Jr.	32011	Stephen C. Glazier	31361	Jack S. Barufka	37087
G. Lloyd Knight	17698	Glenn J. Perry	28458	Paul F. McQuade	31542	Adam R. Hess	41835
Steven C. Skabrat	36279	Kendrew H. Cotton	30368	Ruth N. Morduch	31044	William P. Atkins	38821
Robert G. Winkle George M. Sirilla	37474 18221	G. Paul Edgell Lynn E. Eccleston	24238 35861	Richard H. Zaitlen Roger R. Wise	27248 31204	Paul L. Sharer James R. Thein	36004 31710
Donald J. Bird	25323	Timothy J. Klima	34852	Jay M. Finkelstein	21082	Peter Lam	44855
Peter W. Gowdey	25872	David A. Jakopin	32995	Michael R. Dzwonczyk	36787	Gene I. Su	45140
Alan K. Aldous	31905	Robert D. Anderson	33826	Joseph R. Bond	36458	Richard C. Calderwo	od 35468
Jeffrey S. Draeger	41000	Cynthia Thomas Faatz	39973	Sean Fitzgerald	32027	Seth Z. Kalson	40670
David J. Kaplan	41105	Charles A. Mirho	41199	Leo V. Novakoski	37198	Naomi Obinato	39320
Thomas C. Reynolds	32488	Kenneth M. Seddon	43105	Mark Seeley	32299 34752		
Howard A. Skaist Charles K. Young	36008 39435	Steven C. Stewart Thomas Raleigh Lane	33555 42781	Raymond J. Werner Calvin E. Wells	43256		
Onlancs IV. Foung	004007	Thomas raicigir Earle	42701	Odiviti L. Weils		1	
(1) INVENTOR'S SIGNA	TURE: <	) all Day		Date	: OJu	HOI	
Dale			ř.	TAYLOR			
	· F	irst	Middle Initial		Fa	mily Name	
Residence Lindon		•	Utah			SA	
		City		State/Foreign Country		Country of Citize	enshin
Post Office Address		115 North 500 East, Lin				Country or Onize	
(include Zip Code)	<del></del>	84042 / /	Con, Clair	=-			
mondo Zip Oodej	<del>/1</del>					<i>(</i> – ;	
(2) INVENTOR'S SIGNA	TURE:	Janh lat	<u></u>	Date	: +-	6-01	
Dave			J	MATHENY			
	F	irst	Middle Initial		Fa	mily Name	
Residence South			Utah		<del></del>	SA	
		City		State/Foreign Country		Country of Citize	enshin
Post Office Address	1	3448 West 10025 South				Country of Onice	······································
(include Zip Code)	<del></del>	84095	i, South Jorda	ii, Oldii		•	
<del></del>	IND/ENTO	<del> </del>	]	41 44 1	_ 4 _ 6* . 4 .		
FOR ADDITIONAL	INVENIC	אכ, "X" DOX 📘 an	a proceed o			PMS 280336	nior.
						(M#)	

### Rule 56(a) & (b) = 37 C.F.R. 1.56(a) & (b) PATENT AND TRADEMARK CASES - RULES OF PRACTICE DUTY OF DISCLOSURE

(a) ...Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the [Patent and Trademark] Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability...(b) information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative and (1) It also establishes by itself, or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim or (2) refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability

#### PATENT LAWS 35 U.S.C.

### §102. Conditions for patentability; novelty and loss of right to patent

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless--

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
- (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months\* before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

#### §103. Condition for patentability; non-obvious subject matter

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. . . .
- (c) Subject matter developed by another person, which qualified as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

<sup>\*</sup> Six months for Design Applications (35 U.S.C. 172).